FORM 32. Response to Notice to Advise of Scheduling Conflicts

Form 32 March 2023

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT

RESPONSE TO NOTICE TO ADVISE OF SCHEDULING CONFLICTS

Case Number: 22-1906		
Short Case Caption: VLSI Technology LLC v. Intel Corporation		
Party Name(s): VLSI Technology LLC		
INFORMATION: The court uses this form to determine whether and when to schedule cases for oral argument. Arguing counsel may be changed later, but a motion to reschedule is required once the court schedules argument. Please plan in advance to adhere to the limit on the number of arguing counsel in Fed. Cir. R. 34(e)		
Argument Waiver		
NOTE: Filers checking this box must still complete the below sections. The court may still schedule this case for oral argument even if any party intends to waive argument. If scheduled, parties may still elect to waive argument using the response to notice of oral argument form.		
Other Parties Representing Interests		
☐ Counsel for another party will represent my party's interests at oral argument		
NOTE: If this box is checked, skip the remaining sections. Any argument date will be selected based on conflict dates for counsel arguing on behalf of your party.		
Name of Expected Arguing Counsel Jeffrey A. Lamken		
Dates Unavailable Do you have dates of unavailability within the specific sessions identified by the court's Notice to Advise of Scheduling Conflicts in your case?		
☐ Yes ✓ No		
If yes, attach a separate sheet listing up to ten dates of unavailability and include a statement showing good cause for each date . Dates without good cause or that do not pertain to arguing counsel (e.g., client conflicts) will not be accepted. The court will only accept dates for one counsel and only if that counsel has filed an entry of appearance. The Clerk's Office will evaluate and note accepted or rejected conflict dates; counsel may contact the Clerk's Office about re-filing if dates are rejected. <i>See</i> Fed. Cir. R. 34(d); Practice Notes to Rule 34.		

FORM 32. Response to Notice to Advise of Scheduling Conflicts

Form 32 March 2023

Potential Case Conflicts			
Are there other pending cases before this court (regardless of case status) in which expected arguing counsel in this case also expects to argue?			
✓ Yes □ No			
If yes, attach a separate sheet listing those cases.			
I certify the above information and any attached statement is complete and accurate. I further certify that I will update my notice should new conflicts arise or existing conflicts change.			
Date: <u>08/16/2023</u>	Signature:	/s/ Jeffrey A. Lamken	
	Name:	Jeffrey A. Lamken	

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT

VLSI TECHNOLOGY LLC,

Appellee,

v.

No. 22-1906

INTEL CORPORATION,

Appellant.

STATEMENT OF JEFFREY A. LAMKEN REGARDING SCHEDULING CONFLICTS

- 1. I will be arguing on behalf of Appellee VLSI Technology LLC in this appeal.
- 2. I hereby advise the Court that the following are pending cases before this Court in which I expect to argue:
 - VLSI Technology LLC v. OpenSky Industries, LLC, Nos. 23-2158, 23-2159
 - The Regents of the University of California v. Broad Institute, Inc., Nos. 22-1594, 22-1653
 - Baxter International Inc. v. Becton, Dickinson and Co., No. 22-2197
 - Broadband iTV, Inc., v. Amazon.com, Inc., No. 23-1107
 - Lambeth Magnetic Structures, LLC v. Seagate Technology (US) Holdings Inc., Nos. 23-1335, 23-1346

• Jiaxing Super Lighting Electric Appliance Co., Ltd. v. CH Lighting Technology Co., Ltd., No. 23-1715

• Global Tubing LLC v. Tenaris Coiled Tubes LLC, Nos. 23-1882, 23-1883

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1746, I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

August 16, 2023

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Jeffrey A. Lamken

Jeffrey A. Lamken

Counsel of Record

MOLOLAMKEN LLP

The Watergate, Suite 500
600 New Hampshire Avenue, N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20037
(202) 556-2000 (telephone)
(202) 556-2001 (fax)

jlamken@mololamken.com

Counsel for VLSI Technology LLC